

14 July 1962

SECRET

1. Jø - father, passed away - to T. Jø. in Copenhagen. August 1915.

Enclosed a letter from Mr. Vidvare Sveics, saying that he wanted to begin a family but was unable to begin.

Mr. Sveics is an agent of the Latvian KGB (this was determined ca 1958).

Met L'vunas in the Wilms Hotel, Washington, DC on 14 July 1962 at which

time the letter from Sveics via Mrs. Vitos in Ruzmaria to L'vunas was discussed. It was apparent to everybody concerned that the Latvian KGB via Sveics was interested to establish contact with L'vunas. L'vunas was advised to pass the letter for Sveics and Mrs. Vitos in Ruzmaria for remailing to Sveics in Latvian SSR.

SECRET

(over)

SECRET

OUR GENERAL INTEREST  
WAS TO DETERMINE THE  
REASONS FOR THE KGB'S  
CURRENT INTEREST IN  
LAUNAGS.

LAUNAGS INFORMED THAT  
THE FOLLOWING NAMES MENTIONED  
IN SVEICS' LETTER  
WERE CODE NAMES:

a. VERONIKA = NACIONALA  
PARADE  
(NATIONAL UNDERGROUND)

NOTE: OR SVEICS HIMSELF

b. VIJOLE (VIOLIN) = W/T

c. MAIGA (FEMALE'S FIRST NAME) =  
= SVEICS

d. TURNES (TOUR) = ILLEGAL  
MISSION

[

SR/2

]

2

SECRET

So-called [ ] [ ]

met him twice in July/August, 1959, at the Safe House (rather apartment) near Hauptbahnhof in Frankfurt/M., Germany.

[ ] introduced me to him, and I was told that [ ] had recently arrived from Washington as our new boss. He showed some interest in my personal problem - as to my family joining me in Frankfurt; my new apartment on Friedrichstr. - as well as about my working conditions in the future (promised to get a room for me in the Kemper north of IG Farben building where I could work undisturbed by my family). His main interest, however, seemed to be in my recent trip to Hamburg where I was supposed to recruit a German seaman. He had some strong and justified criticism as to my handling of this case but I resisted his demand since this seemed to me a phony case, a mixture of truth and imagination, either to test my qualifications for this kind of job or just to wave him out of my futile efforts to be a successful agent in the clean-and-dirty business.

[ ] didn't leave a favorable impression on me. It is hard to tell what was it I didn't like in him. It seems to me that he irritated me just by his pose and behavior - sort of "Big Brother" in a disguised intelligence outfit, or The Super Spy, taken out from a movie picture. - I had to agree with his criticism - though John had put me in such a position that it was almost impossible to proceed differently - and I had to admit that my approach to a seaman had indeed been a "shooting from the hip".

in same apartment & accompanied by [ ]  
Next time I saw [ ] some 2-3 days (before my departure from Germany). I had already visited the General Consulate and requested to be transported home, and now [ ] wanted to know whether I had changed my mind. One Stubborn made me answer negatively although I recognized fully the consequences I had to expect. My answer would have been

SECRET

different of not the letter from my wife [ ] had brought to this meeting. This letter showed me that my wife is strongly reacting my way of life then, had taken offense in a poorly written sentence in my letter to her - and that an marriage might be on the rock again. In such a situation the only sensible way out seemed to be my return to the States. In my statement I made some rather incoherent and disjoint statements as to my motivation since I had the strange feeling that [ ] was instrumental in achieving my breakdown.

Last time I saw [ ] in 3rd Tank Battalion (U.S. Army Regt) in Frankfurt/M. when I went to the post office there to send a telegram home. He was accompanied by two men; I didn't dare that I saw him since I was not supposed to enter this building.

SECRET [ ]

12 SEP 1963

COMMENT:

LAUNAGS HAVE NOT  
NOTIFIED US TO THIS TIME  
WHETHER SVEICS REPLIED  
TO HIS LETTER WHICH WAS  
MAILED BY MRS. VITOLS  
FROM AUSTRALIA IN AUGUST 1962

[ ]

SECRET